

DOCKETED commission ap comancatón

2

1

RENZ D. JENNINGS 3

JIM IRVIN

CARL J. KUNASEK

COMMISSIONER-CHAIRMAN

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPETITION IN

THE PROVISION OF ELECTRIC SERVICES

THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF ARIZONA

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

JUL 0 6 1998

3 53 PM 198

DOCKETED BY

)

) COMMENTS ON STAFF'S FIRST DRAFT OF PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE RETAIL ) ELECTRIC COMPETITION RULES

) DOCKET NO. RE-00000C-94-0165

The Arizona Transmission Dependent Utility Group<sup>1</sup>, offers the following comments pursuant to the memorandum of June 25, 1998 circulating draft changes to the Retail Electric Competition Rules:

- 1. We are unsure what the status of this process is at this point. Strictly speaking, circulating draft revisions to rules falls outside the regulatory process. However, the June 25, 1998 memorandum suggests the requirement to serve comments as if this were part of the rulemaking. If it is to be considered part of the rulemaking, then all of these comments must become part of the record.
- 2. There are a number of drafting errors, misspelled words and other minor faults that a formal comment process makes difficult to address. will presume unless we see otherwise in the second draft that the staff will review this draft and clean up definitions and the use of definitions or the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Aquila Irrigation District, Ak-Chin Indian Community, Buckeye Water Conservation and Drainage District, Central Arizona Water Conservation District, Electrical District No. 3, Electrical District No. 4, Electrical District No. 5, Electrical District No. 7, Electrical District No. 8, Harquahala Valley Power District, Maricopa County Municipal Water District No. 1, McMullen Valley Water Conservation and Drainage District, Roosevelt Irrigation District, City of Safford, Tonopah Irrigation District, Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District.

lack of use of definitions. Alternatively, some more informal process should be utilized for the non-substantive changes that this draft requires.

3. The following comments are offered seriatim:

Page 3, number 30, delete "Primary" - to conform to NERC terminology.

Page 3, number 32, delete "generation market" and insert "transmission system".

Page 6, paragraph B, line 9, insert: "This limit does not apply to customers treated under subsections A or C of this section." - Without this caveat, residential consumers will be effectively squeezed out of competition for the first two years by the "stampede to the courthouse".

Page 7, subparagraph D, before the period insert "by September 15, 1998" - no date is specified and this conveniently matches the residential phase-in filing date.

Page 9, subparagraph A, line 6, substitute "Bundled Service" for the list of services. The term is defined in the statute and otherwise used in these rules.

Page 10, reletter subsection C. as D. and delete item 8 thereunder. There are no ancillary services not otherwise covered by FERC regulations related to safety and reliability. The need for additionally defined services is already covered by FERC rules and processes.

Page 10, subparagraph F, in line 1 before "power", insert "any long-term (in excess of one year)" - the rule needs this clarification and also needs not to inhibit a UDC from accessing short-term markets.

Page 11, subsection J, delete the first line and the first two words of the second line and insert "The Unbundled Service and Standard Offer Working Group and its Metering Committee shall continue to"

Page 11, subsection J, delete the first sentence of paragraph 3.

Page 11, subparagraph A, add the following sentence: "The Affected Utilities have a high burden of proof on this subject." That is what the Commission's Order says and it should be repeated here.

Page 12, subsection C, does the term "fully supported" indicate a higher requirement than "adequate supporting documentation" in R14-2-1608.B? Is there a reason for different documentation standards?

Page 12, subsection D, add the following: "The filing shall include a discounted stranded costs exit methodology that a customer may choose to determine an amount in lieu of making monthly payments." - The Commission Order specified exit fee proposals which logically should come with the stranded costs recovery methodology filing.

Page 14, delete subparagraph D.

Page 16, subparagraph E, at the end of the first sentence before the period, insert: ", which penalty shall not be paid by ratepayers" - there is no point in penalizing a utility if it will merely pass the penalty through to ratepayers.

Page 17, subparagraph A, change the last sentence to read:
"Reservation of rights to use the transmission transfer capability by
Affected Utility native load shall be allocated and assigned on a pro rata basis." - All existing contracts and service constitute native load and must be given comparable treatment.

Page 18, subsection C, delete the second sentence and the four numbered paragraphs. Any ISA put together by the Desert STAR people will need to follow FERC criteria. Mandating Arizona criteria for Affected Utilities in a rulemaking is unwise, given the many issues involved.

Page 18, subsection E, line 2, change "as" to "if".

Page 18, subsection F, line 2, change "and" to "or". There is no guaranty that an ISO will ever be worked out.

Page 19, continuing in subsection F, in the third line, strike "set" and insert "proposed by the Affected Utilities" - FERC determines the standards for its rate regulation. The Commission can assist by mandating non-discriminatory filing.

Page 24, subsection M, under 2. Delivery Costs, insert "c. ancillary services".

Page 26, subparagraph A, move the word "new" from line 4 to line 2 in front of "services".

Page 38, subsection B, 1., in the first line delete "billing" and insert "metering", in the second line after "unless" insert "aggregated or", delete the third line. - Without these changes, aggregation cannot take place.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 6th day of July, 19987.

ARIZONA TRANSMISSION DEPENDENT UTILITY GROUP

By Robert S. Lynch

Attorney at Law

340 E. Palm Lane Suite 140 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4529

Original and 10 copies of the foregoing filed this 6th day of July, 1998 with:

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona

Copies of the foregoing mailed this 6<sup>th</sup> day of July, 1998, to:

Service List for Docket No. RE-00000C-94-0165

Mh